

# Minnesota's ONLY No Compromise Gun Rights Organization



## Minnesota Gun Rights

### 2022 Gun Rights Election Candidate Survey

Anti-gun organizations, often funded with out-of-state resources from Michael Bloomberg, have been clamoring for legislation to end all private party firearms transfers in Minnesota unless they submit to a federal NCIC check, thereby adding themselves and the firearm to a federal list. As we've seen, this legislation does little to stop crime but creates statewide databases of gun owners – which can be used against gun owners later.

- 1. If elected, would you OPPOSE and VOTE NO on “Universal Gun Registration” legislation that would ban all private party sales and makes criminals out of Minnesota gun owners who want to pass or family firearms to their children and grandchildren – and create a database of gun owners at the same time?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

“Extreme Risk Protection Orders” commonly referred to as ‘Red Flag Gun Confiscations,’ would allow a spurned ex, a former partner, a co-worker etc, to petition a court and make the claim, with no evidence or substantiation, that a person is a “danger to themselves or others”. Under “Red Flag Gun Confiscations” the court could, through *ex parte* hearings and with no due process of law, issue a “Red Flag” order, stripping a law-abiding gun owner, who has never even been arrested, indicted or convicted of a crime, of their firearms without so much as a day in court!

- 2. If elected, would you OPPOSE and VOTE NO on ‘Red Flag Gun Confiscations’ and similar legislation that would deny law abiding Minnesota citizens their gun rights without due process through a court of law and without ever having been adjudicated as defective or convicted?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

Various state legislatures have already passed legislation that would ban all gun rights from American citizens between the ages of 18-20. In addition, many lawmakers at the state and federal level are publicly calling for this legislation to be enacted at the state level nationwide! This, despite the fact that these are law abiding citizens that can serve in the military, pay taxes, get married, have careers and enjoy every other aspect of being a legal adult.

- 3. If elected, would you OPPOSE and VOTE NO on legislation that would leave American citizens between the ages of 18-20 defenseless and unable to buy a firearm for self-defense?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_

No \_\_\_\_\_

In the wake of recent high-profile murder sprees, anti-gun lawmakers, the media, and Bloomberg funded activists have been screaming for a complete ban of the AR-15 (and their standard 30-round magazine) and hundreds of related firearms all because of a variety of cosmetic features. Legislation has been introduced to ban these firearms in Washington, D.C., and lawmakers here in Minnesota have talked about introducing similar legislation. This, despite the fact that all semi-automatic firearms function essentially the same way.

- 4. If elected, would you OPPOSE and VOTE NO on legislation banning the manufacture, sale or possession of semi-automatic firearms and/or large-capacity magazines, recognizing that these restrictions do nothing to stop crime but only serve to harass gun owners?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Gun control activists have long desired a mandatory waiting period before law abiding citizens would be able to exercise their 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment freedoms and purchase a firearm for self-defense. This, despite the fact that the madmen in some of the worst mass shootings in America purchased their guns AFTER submitting to these waiting periods. Of course, these waiting periods do nothing to stop violent crime and have only resulted in many women being murdered by violent predators because they were unarmed and couldn't defend themselves, because they hadn't waited enough days to buy a gun.

- 5. If elected, would you OPPOSE and VOTE NO on any legislation that would place unconstitutional waiting periods limiting when a law-abiding citizen in Minnesota could purchase a firearm for self-defense?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Constitutional Carry recognizes that every law-abiding citizen has the right to carry a gun, openly or concealed, for any reason they want to, except to commit a crime. Thus, with no government bureaucracy or license, Minnesota citizens could defend themselves, and criminals who use firearms to commit crimes are severely punished. This is the law in South Dakota, Vermont, Alaska, Arizona, Wyoming, Arkansas, Kansas, Maine, West Virginia, Missouri, North Dakota, Alaska, New Hampshire, Georgia, Ohio, Indiana, Alabama, Montana, Iowa, Tennessee, Utah, Kentucky, Oklahoma, Idaho and Texas. If passed in Minnesota, this law will allow thousands of people the right to carry a firearm for any lawful purpose with no additional paperwork or fees.

- 6. Would you support Constitutional Carry legislation, which would allow all law-abiding Minnesota citizens the right to carry a weapon, either concealed or openly, without having to first obtain government permission and be added to a list, as is done in several other states?**

Sponsor \_\_\_\_\_ Cosponsor \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Stand-Your-Ground law, already on the books in 38 states, simply removes the duty to retreat before an individual can defend themselves from a violent attacker in public places, the same way we don't have to retreat when attacked in our homes, businesses, or vehicles. The bill provides an enactment clause, establishes a threatened use of force to allow for tactical retreat from a deadly situation, and states that you can't be civilly sued or criminally charged if a burden of proof cannot be met.

- 7. Would you support Stand-Your-Ground legislation that allows Minnesota citizens to defend themselves, with force if necessary, from violent criminal attacks that occur in public places without having to retreat, as well as ensuring that they can't be bankrupted by expensive or frivolous lawsuits?**

Sponsor \_\_\_\_\_ Cosponsor \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Most mass shootings happen in so-called "Gun-Free" areas where law-abiding citizens are forced to disarm, which quite literally has resulted in violent criminals targeting these sites, like we saw in Uvalde, TX. This

prevents otherwise law-abiding citizens from defending themselves, their loved ones and innocent citizens around them.

**8. If elected, would you SUPPORT and VOTE YES on legislation to eliminate restrictions on law-abiding gun owners from carrying a firearm in certain so-called "Gun-Free" areas, otherwise known as "Criminal Safe Zones?"**

Sponsor \_\_\_\_\_ Cosponsor \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Missouri passed the nation's leading Second Amendment Preservation Act (SAPA) in 2021. This law asserts state sovereignty under the 10<sup>th</sup> Amendment and tells the federal government that while Missouri can't stop them from passing gun control, Missouri wouldn't allow their cops and other resources to be used to help them enforce it. And since the bulk of federal laws are enforced by state and local officers, this law means that the bulk of Biden's gun control agenda will go unenforced in Missouri. And with strong civil penalties built into it, Missouri's SAPA law gives individual gun owners the ability to hold departments that intentionally violate the law accountable.

**9. If elected, would you SUPPORT and VOTE YES on Second Amendment Preservation Act legislation for Minnesota, which states that Minnesota cops may not be used by the federal government to enforce federal gun control laws or Executive Orders, and which contain civil penalties that allow gun owners to hold rogue departments who break the law accountable?**

Sponsor \_\_\_\_\_ Cosponsor \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

Almost every legislative candidate will say "I support the Second Amendment" but not all will stand firmly against new and invasive forms of gun control, especially when 'compromises' are offered.

**10. If elected, would you OPPOSE and VOTE NO on all methods of gun control, including those that the media calls 'reasonable?' These could include bans on 'ghost guns,' laws that ban those with simple misdemeanor convictions from owning guns, new taxes on ammunition, civil liability insurance requirements for gun owners, and the use of pistol braces?**

Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_\_

I hereby submit the answers to this survey as my solemn word that, if elected, I will vote on these issues as I have indicated in this survey.

Signature \_\_\_\_\_ Printed \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

District # \_\_\_\_\_ Office Sought \_\_\_\_\_ Party \_\_\_\_\_

Unanswered questions will be considered as opposition to the rights of gun owners. Feel free to attach additional comments to this survey but know that we cannot publish them. If you have any questions about this survey or need clarification on any question, please email us at [Director@minnesotagunrights.org](mailto:Director@minnesotagunrights.org). **The survey is due by 5:00pm, July 1, 2022.**

**Unsigned surveys cannot be accepted for publication. Please note that your responses are not considered official until the signed copies are returned to us via postal mail. Please use the included return envelope for your convenience. To allow us to prepare to distribute your results while your signed copy is enroute, you may scan and email your completed survey to [director@minnesotagunrights.org](mailto:director@minnesotagunrights.org).**